

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/716,482	11/20/2003	Shou-Chun Tsai	BHT-3215-55	6691
7	7590 03/17/2004		EXAM	NER
Troxell Law Office PLLC			NGUYEN, SON V	
Suite 1404 5205 Leesburg	Pike		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Falls Church,			2839	

DATE MAILED: 03/17/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	A			
	10/716,482	TSAI, SHOU-CHU	JN			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Son V Nguyen	2839				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	pears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence ad	idress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a soly within the statutory minimum of the will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC e, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timel NTHS from the mailing date of this c ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. communication.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ Thi	s action is non-final.					
, <u> </u>	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
 4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1.2.4 and 5 is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 3 and 6 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	awn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on 20 November 2003 is/ Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)[e drawing(s) be held in abeya ction is required if the drawin	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in ority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National	l Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08	Paper No.	/ Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PT	O-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other: _	·				

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/716,482

Art Unit: 2839

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-2 and 4-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over applicant's admitted prior art (hereinafter APA') in view of Manning (US 6,364,685).

APA' discloses a basis modular telephone plug [60, figure 6] comprising:

- elongated housing [61];
- a connector module mounted in the housing [figure 6];
- a flexible engaging tab [62] detachably mounted on a bottom of the housing, a gap is provided between the tab and the bottom of the housing;
- a stationary end fastened on one end of the bottom of the housing by a coupling device; and
 - the coupling device comprises two holes and two stubs [figure6].

APA' discloses the instant claimed invention as described above except for the tab .

comprising a free end having a loop abutting slidably on the bottom of the housing and two

Art Unit: 2839

detents, and the housing having a passage defining between two wings.

Manning discloses a plug connector [20, figures 2a, 2b] comprising a latch having a fixed end and a free end [25], wherein the free end having a loop abutting slidably on a bottom of the housing and two detents [13a], and the housing having a passage defining between two wings [not labeled].

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to modify the plug of APA' to provide the latch design and the wings formed in the housing as taught by Manning for the purpose of facilitating connection between the plug and a mating jack connector, as is well known in the art of the electrical connectors.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 3. Claims 3 and 6 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 4. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art of record does not teach or render obvious the combination, cooperation and interaction that applicant claimed a modular telephone plug comprising a housing having two wings includes two grooves formed therein to receive two protrusions formed in the engaging tab, as recited with other elements in the claim 1.

Application/Control Number: 10/716,482 Page 4

Art Unit: 2839

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Son V Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-2097. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynn Feild can be reached on (571) 272-2092. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SON V. NGUYEN
PATENT EXAMINER

sn

March 8, 2004